ELG7177: MIMO Comunications

Lecture 6

Dr. Sergey Loyka EECS, University of Ottawa

February 13, 2019

The capacity of MIMO channel is

$$C = \log |\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{W}\mathbf{R}^*| = \sum_i \log(1 + \lambda_{wi}\lambda_i^*) = \sum_i \log(\mu^{-1}\lambda_{wi}) \qquad (1)$$

and the optimal signaling (Tx) is

$$\mathbf{R}^{*} = \mathbf{U}_{W} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{*} \mathbf{U}_{W}^{+} = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*} \mathbf{u}_{wi} \mathbf{u}_{wi}^{+}$$

$$\lambda_{i}^{*} = (\mu^{-1} - \lambda_{wi}^{-1})_{+}$$

$$\sum_{i} (\mu^{-1} - \lambda_{wi}^{-1})_{+} = P$$
(2)

high SNR: $P \rightarrow \infty$.

Lecture 6, ELG7177: MIMO Comunications

If $P
ightarrow \infty$, then $\mu
ightarrow$ 0, so that

$$\lambda_i^* = (\mu^{-1} - \lambda_{wi}^{-1})_+ \approx \mu^{-1} = P/m \tag{3}$$

and, assuming full-rank W,

$$\mathbf{R}^* \approx \frac{P}{m} \mathbf{I} \tag{4}$$

i.e. isotropic signaling is optimal. The capacity is

$$C \approx \log \left| \mathbf{I} + \frac{P}{m} \mathbf{W} \right| \approx \log \left| \frac{P}{m} \mathbf{W} \right| = m \log \frac{P}{m} + \log |\mathbf{W}|$$
 (5)

(3)-(7) hold at finite SNR if

$$\mu^{-1} \gg \lambda_{wi}^{-1} \leftrightarrow P \gg m \lambda_{wm}^{-1} \tag{6}$$

S. Loyka

Lecture 6, ELG7177: MIMO Comunications

3 / 16

The MIMO capacity is

$$C \approx m \log \frac{P}{m} + \log |\mathbf{W}|$$
 (7)

Compare this to the SISO capacity:

$$C_1 = \log(1 + |h_{11}|^2 P) \approx \log P + \log |h_{11}|^2$$
(8)

and to the Tx/Rx beamforming capacity:

$$C_b = \log(1 + \lambda_{w1}P) \approx \log P + \log \lambda_{w1} \tag{9}$$

- Q1: consider free-space propagation at far field: $h_{ij} = 1$ for all i, j. Compare C, C_1, C_b .
- Q2: Now assume $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I}$ and compare 3 capacities.
- Q3: Sometimes, the high SNR regime is defined when all eigenmodes are active. Derive a condition on the SNR for this to be the case.
- Q4: Find an equivalent of (4) when \mathbf{W} is rank-deficient.

Multiplexing Gain

Multiplexing: launching multiple bit streams simultaneously. Multiplexing gain *r*: informally,

$$C \approx r \log(1 + \alpha \gamma)$$
 (10)

Formal definition:

$$r = \lim_{\gamma \to \infty} \frac{C}{\log \gamma} \tag{11}$$

Q1: using the WF solution, show that

$$r = rank(\mathbf{H}) = rank(\mathbf{W})$$
 (12)

Q2: when is beamforming optimal at high SNR?

Low SNR Regime

The capacity of MIMO channel is

$$C = \log |\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{W}\mathbf{R}^*| = \sum_i \log(1 + \lambda_{wi}\lambda_i^*)$$
(13)

The low-SNR regime is when $P \rightarrow 0$ or, more precisely, $\lambda_{wi}\lambda_i^* \ll 1$, so that

$$C \approx \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{WR}^* = P\lambda_{w1} \tag{14}$$

and the optimal signaling is

$$\mathbf{R}^* \approx P \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{u}_1^+, \ \lambda_1^* = P, \ \lambda_2^* = \dots = \lambda_m^* = 0$$
 (15)

i.e. signaling on the largest eigenmode of W, or beamforming along u_1 , is optimal at low SNR.

Low SNR Regime

The low-SNR MIMO capacity is

$$C \approx \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{WR}^* = \lambda_{w1} P$$
 (16)

Compare this to the SISO capacity:

$$C_1 = \log(1 + |h_{11}|^2 P) \approx |h_{11}|^2 P$$
 (17)

and to the Tx/Rx beamforming capacity:

$$C_b = \log(1 + \lambda_{w1}P) \approx \lambda_{w1}P \tag{18}$$

Note: $\lambda_{w1} = \lambda_1(\mathbf{W}) = \sigma_1^2(\mathbf{H}), \ \mathbf{u}_1(\mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{u}_1(\mathbf{H}).$

Low SNR Regime

Q1: consider free-space propagation at far field: $h_{ij} = 1$ for all i, j. Compare C, C_1, C_b .

Q2: Now assume $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I}$ and compare 3 capacities.

Q3: Sometimes, the low SNR regime is defined when only one eigenmode is active. Derive a condition on the SNR for this to be the case. Which precisely eigenmode is active?

Q4: Is it possible for low and high SNR regimes to overlap, i.e. the SNR is high but only 1 eigenmode is active?

Q5: Sometimes, the low-SNR regime is called "wideband regime". Justify this definition by considering the SISO channel and letting $\Delta f \rightarrow \infty$ while the signal power P_x being fixed.

Rank-1 Channel Let rank(\mathbf{H}) = rank(\mathbf{W}) = 1, so that $\mathbf{H} = \sigma_1 \mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{u}_1^+, \ \mathbf{W} = \sigma_1^2 \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{u}_1^+$ (19)

Show that

$$\mathbf{R}^* = P \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{u}_1^+ \tag{20}$$

i.e. beamforming along \boldsymbol{u}_1 is optimal, at any SNR, and the capacity is

$$C = \log(1 + P\sigma_1^2) \tag{21}$$

Note that while \mathbf{R}^* depends on \mathbf{u}_1 , the capacity does not. The optimal signaling transforms the MIMO channel into equivalent SISO channel. What about optimal receiver?

In general, show that

$$\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{R}^*) \leq \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{H})$$
 (22)

S. Loyka

Lecture 6, ELG7177: MIMO Comunications

10 / 16

Impact of Channel State Information (CSI)

The optimal covariance $\mathbf{R}^* = \mathbf{U}_W \mathbf{\Lambda}^* \mathbf{U}_W^+$ depends on the channel **W** (or **H**).

How to gain the CSI?

What if the Tx/Rx does not know the channel?

Hint: consider the high-SNR regime.

Impact of Channel State Information (CSI)

CSI: via channel estimation.¹

Incomplete/inaccurate/no CSI: compound channel model.²³

The compound capacity is the largest achievable rate over a class of channels,

$$C = \max_{\mathbf{R}} \min_{\mathbf{H} \in S_{H}} \log |\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{H}^{+}|$$
(23)

where S_H is channel uncertainty set.

¹B. Hassibi, B. Hochwald, How much training is needed in multiple-antenna wireless links?, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, v. 49, pp. 951-963, Apr. 2003.

²S. Loyka, C.D. Charalambous, On the Compound Capacity of a Class of MIMO Channels Subject to Normed Uncertainty, IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, v.58, N.4, pp. 2048-2063, Apr. 2012.

³S. Loyka, C.D. Charalambous, Novel Matrix Singular Value Inequalities and Their Applications to Uncertain MIMO Channels, IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, v. 61, N. 12, pp. 6623 - 6634, Dec. 2015.

Impact of Channel State Information (CSI)

The least yet meaningful CSI:

$$S_H = \{ \mathbf{H} : \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{H}^+ \mathbf{H} \ge G \}$$
(24)

G = minimum channel power gain.

Show that

1. isotropic signaling is optimal:

$$\mathbf{R}^* = \frac{P}{m}\mathbf{I} \tag{25}$$

2. the capacity is

$$C = \log(1 + G \cdot P/m) \tag{26}$$

3. compare this with the high-SNR regime.

S. Loyka

13 / 16

Normalization of MIMO Channel Matrices

The MIMO channel model,

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi} \tag{27}$$

The total Rx power

$$P_r = \sum_i \overline{|y_i|^2} = \overline{|\mathbf{y}|^2} = \overline{\mathbf{x}^+ \mathbf{H}^+ \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x}} = \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{R} = \frac{P}{m} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{W}$$
(28)

where the last equality holds for isotropic signaling, $\mathbf{R} = \frac{P}{m}\mathbf{I}$. The earlier-introduced SNR γ :

$$\gamma = \frac{P}{\sigma_0^2} = \frac{\mathsf{Tx \ power}}{\mathsf{Rx \ noise}}$$
(29)

Makes sense?

S. Loyka

Lecture 6, ELG7177: MIMO Comunications

Normalization of MIMO Channel Matrices

A proper SNR = the Rx SNR γ_r :

$$\gamma_r = \frac{\text{Rx power}}{\text{Rx noise}} = \frac{P_r}{\sigma_0^2} = \frac{\gamma}{m} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{W} = \gamma$$
 (30)

where $\gamma={\cal P}/\sigma_0^2;$ the last equality holds if

$$\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{W} = \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{H}^{+} \mathbf{H} = \sum_{i,j} |h_{ij}|^{2} = m$$
(31)

This is a proper channel normalization.

Also justified by the physics of antenna arrays⁴.

Q: consider this for the SISO channel.

⁴S. Loyka, G. Levin, On Physically-Based Normalization of MIMO Channel Matrices, IEEE Trans. Wireless Comm., v. 8, N. 3, pp. 1107-1112, Mar. 2009.

Lecture 6, ELG7177: MIMO Comunications

Summary

- High/low SNR regimes
- Optimal signaling and capacity
- Multiplexing gain
- Impact of CSI, compound channel/capacity
- Normalization of MIMO channel matrices
- Multiple questions