Invariants 000000000 000

Other Combinatorial Objects

Computing Isomorphism [Ch.7, Kreher & Stinson] [Ch.3, Kaski & Östergård]

Lucia Moura

Winter 2009

Computing Isomorphism [Ch.7, Kreher & Stinson] [Ch.3, Kaski & Östergård]

Lucia Moura

Other Combinatorial Objects

Introduction

Isomorphism of Combinatorial Objects

In general, *isomorphism* is an equivalence relation on a set of objects. When generating combinatorial objects, we are often interested in **generating inequivalent objects**:

Generate exactly one representative of each isomorphism class.

(We don't want to have isomorphic objects in our list.)

For example, when interested in graphs with certain properties, the labels on the vertices may be irrelevant, and we are really interested on the unlabeled underlying structure.

Isomorphism can be seen as a general equivalence relation, but for combinatorial objects, isomorphism is defined through the existence of an appropriate bijection (isomorphism) that shows that two objects have the same structure.

What are the issues in Isomorphism Computations?

- Isomorphism: decide whether two objects are isomorphic. Some approaches:
 - Compute an isomorphism invariant for an object If two objects disagree on the invariant, then the objects are NOT isomorphic; the converse is not true.
 - Compute a certificate for an object
 Two objects are isomorphic if and only if they agree on the certificate.
 - Put an object on canonical form Two objects are isomorphic if and only if they have the same canonical form.
- Automorphism group generators: compute generators of the automorphism group of an object.

Other Combinatorial Objects

We can go a long way with coloured graphs

- We will concentrate on graphs and coloured graphs (= a graph plus a partition of the vertex set).
- Most combinatorial objects can be represented as coloured graphs.
- We then reduce the isomorphism of more general combinatorial objects to the isomorphism of coloured graphs.
- Brendan McKay's *nauty* software (short for "no automorphism, yes?", available online) is an extremely efficient package/C procedure for isomorphism of graphs and coloured graphs. It is based on backtracking and partition refinement ideas and uses the same framework we will study here to compute certificates for graphs.
- In the next lecture notes chapter "Isomorph-free exhaustive generation", we will use isomorphism computations studied in this chapter as black boxes.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Introduction
0000

Other Combinatorial Objects

Graph isomorphism definitions

Example 1: isomorphic graphs



 G_1 and G_2 are **isomorphic**, since there is a bijection $f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ that preserve edges:

Invariants 000000000 000

Other Combinatorial Objects

Graph isomorphism definitions

Example 2: non-isomorphic graphs



 G_3 and G_4 are not isomorphic:

Any bijection would not preserve edges since G_3 has no vertex of degree 3, while G_4 does.

(the degree sequence of a graph (in sorted order) is invariant under isomorphism)

Introduction
0000

Other Combinatorial Objects

Graph isomorphism definitions

Definition of graph isomorphism and automorphism

Definition

Two graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ are **isomorphic** if there is a bijection $f: V_1 \to V_2$ such that

$$\{f(x), f(y)\} \in E_2 \iff \{x, y\} \in E_1.$$

The mapping f is said to be an **isomorphism** between G_1 and G_2 . If f is an isomorphism from G to itself, it is called an **automorphism**.

The set of all automorphisms of a graph is a permutation group (which is a group under the "composition of permutations" operation). See chapter 6 for more on groups and permutation groups.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Invariants 000000000 000

Other Combinatorial Objects

Graph isomorphism definitions

Computational complexity of graph isomorphism

The problem of determining if two graphs are isomorphic is in general difficult, but most researchers believe it is not NP-complete.

Some special cases can be solved in polynomial time, such as: graphs with maximum degree bounded by a constant and trees.

An example of invariant

Let $DS = [deg(v_1), deg(v_2), \dots, deg(v_n)]$ be the degree sequence of a graph; let $SDS = [d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]$ be its degree sequence in sorted order.



SDS is the same for all graphs that are isomorphic to G.

So, *SDS* is an *invariant* (under isomorphism).

Definition of Invariant

Definition

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs. An *invariant* on \mathcal{F} is a function ϕ with domain \mathcal{F} such that $\phi(G_1) = \phi(G_2)$ if G_1 is isomorphic to G_2 .

• If $\phi(G_1) \neq \phi(G_2)$ we can conclude G_1 and G_2 are not isomorphic. If $\phi(G_1) = \phi(G_2)$, we still need to check whether they are isomorphic.

- ∢ ⊒ → = ⊒

Definition of Invariant

Definition

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs. An *invariant* on \mathcal{F} is a function ϕ with domain \mathcal{F} such that $\phi(G_1) = \phi(G_2)$ if G_1 is isomorphic to G_2 .

- If $\phi(G_1) \neq \phi(G_2)$ we can conclude G_1 and G_2 are not isomorphic. If $\phi(G_1) = \phi(G_2)$, we still need to check whether they are isomorphic.
- Invariants can help us to quickly determine when two structures are not isomorphic, and so avoiding a full isomorphism test.

Definition of Invariant

Definition

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs. An *invariant* on \mathcal{F} is a function ϕ with domain \mathcal{F} such that $\phi(G_1) = \phi(G_2)$ if G_1 is isomorphic to G_2 .

- If $\phi(G_1) \neq \phi(G_2)$ we can conclude G_1 and G_2 are not isomorphic. If $\phi(G_1) = \phi(G_2)$, we still need to check whether they are isomorphic.
- Invariants can help us to quickly determine when two structures are not isomorphic, and so avoiding a full isomorphism test.
- Examples of invariants: number of vertices and edges, degree sequence, number of components, etc.

Definition of Invariant

Definition

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs. An *invariant* on \mathcal{F} is a function ϕ with domain \mathcal{F} such that $\phi(G_1) = \phi(G_2)$ if G_1 is isomorphic to G_2 .

- If $\phi(G_1) \neq \phi(G_2)$ we can conclude G_1 and G_2 are not isomorphic. If $\phi(G_1) = \phi(G_2)$, we still need to check whether they are isomorphic.
- Invariants can help us to quickly determine when two structures are not isomorphic, and so avoiding a full isomorphism test.
- Examples of invariants: number of vertices and edges, degree sequence, number of components, etc.
- To be useful, invariants should be quickly computable. "Number of cliques" is an invariant, but not quickly computable.

< 3 > < 3 > 3

Invariants 000000000 000

Other Combinatorial Objects

Computing Invariants

Invariant inducing function

Definition (vertex partition induced by a function)

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs on the vertex set V. Let $D: \mathcal{F} \times V \to \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$. Then, the **partition of** V **induced by** D is

$$B = [B[0], B[1], \dots, B[k]]$$

where $B[i] = \{v \in V : D(G, v) = i\}.$

Definition (invariant inducing function)

If $\phi_D(G) = [|B[0]|, |B[1]|, \dots, |B[k]|]$ is an invariant (under isomorphism), then we say that D is an **invariant inducing** function.

3

・ロト ・得ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Invariants 000000000 000

Other Combinatorial Objects

Computing Invariants

Example: invariant inducing function D(G, u) =degree of vertex u in graph G.



Ordered partition induced by D:

$$B = [\emptyset, \{3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9\}, \{1\}, \emptyset, \{2, 6\}, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset]$$
$$\phi_D(G) = [0, 6, 1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0]$$

 $\phi_D(G)$ is an invariant for \mathcal{F} , the family of all graphs on V. So, D is an invariant inducing function.

Computing Isomorphism [Ch.7, Kreher & Stinson] [Ch.3, Kaski & Östergård]

Lucia Moura

Invariants 000000000 000

Other Combinatorial Objects

Computing Invariants

Using more than one invariant inducing function



 $D_1(G, v) =$ tuple representing the # of neighbours for each degree Ex.: $D_1(G_1, 4) = [0030 \cdots 0]; D_1(G_2, b) = [0030 \cdots 0]; D_1(G_1, 8) = [2010 \cdots 0]$

 $D_2(G, v) = \#$ of triangles in G passing through v. Ex.: $D_2(G_1, 4) = 1$; $D_1(G_2, b) = 0$.

Invariants 000000000 000

Other Combinatorial Objects

Computing Invariants

Partition refinement using two invariant inducing functions

Compute an (ordered) vertex partition where the corresponding tuple of sizes is an invariant under isomorphism.

If two graphs disagree on the tuple of sizes, then they are not isomorphic. Otherwise, we can use the ordered partition to reduce the number of permutations considered.

- Initial partition: $X_0(G_1) = [\{1, 2, \dots, 12\}] X_0(G_2) = [\{a, b, \dots, l\}]$
- Partition refinement of X_0 induced by D_1 :
 - $$\begin{split} X_1(G_1) &= [\{1,9,10,11,12\},\{2\},\{3,4,5,6\},\{7,8\}] \\ X_1(G_2) &= [\{a,f,g,k,l\},\{b\},\{c,d,h,i\},\{e,f\}] \end{split}$$
- Partition refinement of X_1 induced by D_2 : $X_2(G_1) = [\{1, 9, 10, 11, 12\}, \{2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}, \{7, 8\}]$ $X_2(G_2) = [\{a, f, g, k, l\}, \{b\}, \{c, d\}, \{h, i\}, \{e, j\}]$
- G_1 and G_2 are still compatible; but we only need to check bijections that map vertices from $X_2(G_1)[i]$ into vertices of $X_2(G_2)[i]$,

Introduction 000 0000	Invariants 000000●00 000	Computing Certificates 000000 00000000000 00000000000000000	Other Combinatorial Objects 000000

 $D_1(G,v) = \#$ of neighbours for each degree

$$\begin{aligned} & [0010\cdots 0] = D_1(G_2, a) = D_1(G_2, f) = D_1(G_2, g) = D_1(G_2, k) = D_1(G_2, l) \\ & [1020\cdots 0] = D_1(G_2, b) \\ & [0030\cdots 0] = D_1(G_2, c) = D_1(G_2, d) = D_1(G_2, h) = D_1(G_2, i) \\ & [2010\cdots 0] = D_1(G_2, e) = D_1(G_2, f) \end{aligned}$$

Partition refinement of X_0 induced by D_1 :

$$\begin{array}{lll} X_1(G_1) &=& [\{1,9,10,11,12\},\{2\},\{3,4,5,6\},\{7,8\}] \\ X_1(G_2) &=& [\{a,f,g,k,l\},\{b\},\{c,d,h,i\},\{e,f\}] \end{array}$$

Invariants 000000000 000

Other Combinatorial Objects

Computing Invariants

 $X_1(G_1) = [\{1, 9, 10, 11, 12\}, \{2\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{7, 8\}]$ $X_1(G_2) = [\{a, f, g, k, l\}, \{b\}, \{c, d, h, i\}, \{e, f\}]$

 $D_2(G, v) = \#$ of triangles in G passing through v.

$$D_2(G_1, v) = 0, \quad \text{if } v \in \{1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\} \\ = \mathbf{1}, \quad \text{if } v \in \{2, 3, 4\} \\ D_2(G_2, v) = 0, \quad \text{if } v \in \{a, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l\} \\ = \mathbf{1}, \quad \text{if } v \in \{b, c, d\}$$

Partition refinement of X_1 induced by D_2 :

 G_1 and G_2 are still compatible!

Computing Isomorphism [Ch.7, Kreher & Stinson] [Ch.3, Kaski & Östergård]

-

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Introduction 000 0000	Invariants 0000000● 000	Computing Certificates 000000 00000000000 00000000000000000	Other Combinatorial Objects 000000
Computing Invariants			

Looking at the partition of the vertex set obtained by the two invariant induction functions:

$$\begin{split} X_2(G_1) &= [\{1, 9, 10, 11, 12\}, \{2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}, \{7, 8\}] \\ X_2(G_2) &= [\{a, f, g, k, l\}, \{b\}, \{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}, \{h, i\}, \{e, f\}] \end{split}$$

We only need to check bijections between sets in corresponding cells (colours):

of bijections to test: $5! \times 1! \times 2! \times 2! \times 2! = 960$. Without partition refinement, we would have to test 12! bijections!

Invariants

Other Combinatorial Objects

Generating all isomorphisms

Backtracking algorithm to find all isomorphisms

We use a set \mathcal{I} of invariant inducing functions, and then apply backtracking in order to generate all valid bijections.



Invariants

Other Combinatorial Objects

Generating all isomorphisms

Algorithm Iso (\mathcal{I}, G_1, G_2) (global n, W, X, Y) procedure GETPARTITIONS() $X[0] \leftarrow V(G_1); \quad Y[0] \leftarrow V(G_2); \quad N \leftarrow 1;$ for each $D \in \mathcal{T}$ do for $i \leftarrow 0$ to N-1 do Partition X[i] into sets $X_1[i], X_2[i], \ldots, X_{m_i}[i]$, where $x, x' \in X_i[i] \iff D(x) = D(x')$ Partition Y[i] into sets $Y_1[i], Y_2[i], \ldots, Y_{n_i}[i]$, where $y, y' \in Y_i[i] \iff D(y) = D(y')$ if $m_i \neq n_i$ then exit; (G₁ and G₂ are not isomorphic) Order $Y_1[i], Y_2[i], \ldots, Y_{m_i}[i]$ so that for all j D(x) = D(y) whenever $x \in X_i[i]$ and $y \in Y_i[i]$ if ordering is not possible then exit; (not isomorphic) Order the partitions so that: |X[i]| = |Y[i]| < |X[i+1]| = |Y[i+1]| for all i $N \leftarrow N + (m_0 - 1) + \ldots + (m_{N-1} - 1);$

return (N);

Computing Isomorphism [Ch.7, Kreher & Stinson] [Ch.3, Kaski & Östergård]

Lucia Moura

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Introduction 000 0000	Invariants ○○○○○○○○ ○○●	Computing Certificates 000000 00000000000 00000000000000000	Other Combinatorial Objects
Generating all isomorphisms			

procedure FINDISOMORPHISM(l)

$$\begin{split} &\text{if } l=n \text{ then output } (f); \\ &j \leftarrow W[l]; \\ &\text{for each } y \in Y[j] \text{ do} \\ & OK \leftarrow true; \\ &\text{for } u \leftarrow 0 \text{ to } l-1 \text{ do} \\ & \text{ if } (\{u,l\} \in E(G_1) \text{ and } \{f[u],y\} \notin E(G_2)) \text{ or} \\ & (\{u,l\} \notin E(G_1) \text{ and } \{f[u],y\} \in E(G_2)) \text{ then } OK \leftarrow false; \\ &\text{ if } OK \text{ then } f[l] \leftarrow y; \\ & \text{FINDISOMORPHISM}(l+1); \end{split}$$

main

 $N \leftarrow \text{GetPartitions}();$ for $i \leftarrow 0$ to N do for each $x \in X[i]$ do $W[x] \leftarrow i;$ FINDISOMORPHISM(0);

Invariants 00000000 000 Other Combinatorial Objects

Computing certificates

Certificates

Definition

A certificate Cert() for a family \mathcal{F} of graphs is a function such that for $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

 $Cert(G_1) = Cert(G_2) \iff G_1$ and G_2 are isomorphic

Next, we show how to compute certificates in polynomial time for the family of **trees**.

Consequently, graph isomorphism for trees can be solved in polynomial time!

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Computing certificates

Certificates for Trees

Algorithm to compute certificates for a tree:

- **1** Label all vertices with string 01.
- While there are more than 2 vertices in G: for each non-leaft x of G do
 - Let Y be the set of labels of the leaves adjacent to x and the label of x with initial 0 and trailing 1 deleted from x;
 - Replace the label of x with the concatenation of the labels in Y, sorted in increasing lexicographic order, with a 0 prepended and a 1 appended.
 Demons all leaves adjacent to x
 - **③** Remove all leaves adjacent to x.
- **③** If there is only one vertex x left, report x's label as the certificate.
- If there are 2 vertices x and y left, concatenate x and y in increasing lexicographic order, and report it as the certificate.

- ∢ ≣ ▶

Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Computing certificates

Example 1:

tree to certificate



Certificate = 00001011100011100111.

Computing Isomorphism [Ch.7, Kreher & Stinson] [Ch.3, Kaski & Östergård]

э

< ∃⇒

< ∃⇒

Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Computing certificates

Example 2:

tree to certificate





Computing Isomorphism [Ch.7, Kreher & Stinson] [Ch.3, Kaski & Östergård]

3. 3

Invariants 000000000 000 Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Computing certificates

Example 1: certificate to tree



Computing Isomorphism [Ch.7, Kreher & Stinson] [Ch.3, Kaski & Östergård]

э

< □ > < 同 >

Computing Certificates 000000

Example 2:



Computing Isomorphism [Ch.7, Kreher & Stinson] [Ch.3, Kaski & Östergård]

Lucia Moura

 $\exists \rightarrow$ э

Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Certificates for general graphs

Let G = (V, E). Consider all permutations $\pi : V \to V$. Each π determines an adjacency matrix:

$$A_{\pi}[u, v] = 1, \text{ if } \{\pi(u), \pi(v)\} \in E$$

0, otherwise.

Look at the relevant entires of A_{π} and form a number Num_{π} . We will use these Num_{π} to define a certificate...

Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Example: adjacency matrices for isomorphic graphs $G = (V = \{1, 2, 3\}, E = \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\})$



Computing Isomorphism [Ch.7, Kreher & Stinson] [Ch.3, Kaski & Östergård]

Lucia Moura

Invariants 000000000 000 Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Defining a certificate for general graphs: idea 1

• We could define the certificate to be

 $Cert1(G) = min\{Num_{\pi}(G) : \pi \in Sym(V)\}.$

-

A B M A B M

< A >

Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Defining a certificate for general graphs: idea 1

• We could define the certificate to be

 $Cert1(G) = min\{Num_{\pi}(G) : \pi \in Sym(V)\}.$

• *Cert*1(*G*) is difficult to compute.

< ∃ →

0000

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Defining a certificate for general graphs: idea 1

• We could define the certificate to be

 $Cert1(G) = min\{Num_{\pi}(G) : \pi \in Sym(V)\}.$

- Cert1(G) is difficult to compute.
- Cert1(G) has as many leading 0's as possible.

< ∃ →

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Defining a certificate for general graphs: idea 1

• We could define the certificate to be

 $Cert1(G) = min\{Num_{\pi}(G) : \pi \in Sym(V)\}.$

- Cert1(G) is difficult to compute.
- *Cert*1(*G*) has as many leading 0's as possible.
- So, k is as large as possible, where k is the number of all-zero columns above the diagonal.

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Defining a certificate for general graphs: idea 1

• We could define the certificate to be

 $Cert1(G) = min\{Num_{\pi}(G) : \pi \in Sym(V)\}.$

- Cert1(G) is difficult to compute.
- *Cert*1(*G*) has as many leading 0's as possible.
- So, k is as large as possible, where k is the number of all-zero columns above the diagonal.
- So, vertices $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$ form a maximum independent set in G (or equivalently a maximum clique in the complement graph \overline{G}).
Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Defining a certificate for general graphs: idea 1

• We could define the certificate to be

 $Cert1(G) = min\{Num_{\pi}(G) : \pi \in Sym(V)\}.$

- Cert1(G) is difficult to compute.
- Cert1(G) has as many leading 0's as possible.
- So, k is as large as possible, where k is the number of all-zero columns above the diagonal.
- So, vertices $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$ form a maximum independent set in G (or equivalently a maximum clique in the complement graph \overline{G}).
- So, computing Cert1(G) as defined above is NP-hard.

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Defining a certificate for general graphs: idea 1

• We could define the certificate to be

 $Cert1(G) = min\{Num_{\pi}(G) : \pi \in Sym(V)\}.$

- *Cert*1(*G*) is difficult to compute.
- *Cert*1(*G*) has as many leading 0's as possible.
- So, k is as large as possible, where k is the number of all-zero columns above the diagonal.
- So, vertices $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$ form a maximum independent set in G (or equivalently a maximum clique in the complement graph \overline{G}).
- So, computing Cert1(G) as defined above is NP-hard.
- But it is believed that determining if $G_1 \sim G_2$ (G_1 isomorphic to G_2) is not NP-complete.

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Defining a certificate for general graphs: idea 1

• We could define the certificate to be

 $Cert1(G) = min\{Num_{\pi}(G) : \pi \in Sym(V)\}.$

- *Cert*1(*G*) is difficult to compute.
- Cert1(G) has as many leading 0's as possible.
- So, k is as large as possible, where k is the number of all-zero columns above the diagonal.
- So, vertices $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$ form a maximum independent set in G (or equivalently a maximum clique in the complement graph \overline{G}).
- So, computing Cert1(G) as defined above is NP-hard.
- But it is believed that determining if $G_1 \sim G_2$ (G_1 isomorphic to G_2) is not NP-complete.
- So, it is possible that the approach of computing Cert1(G) to solve the graph isomorphism problem is more work than necessary.

Introduction 000 0000	Invariants 00000000 000	Computing Certificates 000000 0000000000 000000000000000000	Other Combinatorial Objects 000000
Partition refinement a	ad certificates for general grap	he	

Defining a certificate for general graphs

So, instead, we will define the certificate as follows:

$$Cert(G) = min\{Num_{\pi}(G) : \pi \in \Pi_G\},\$$

where Π_G is a set of permutations determined by the structure of G but not by any particular ordering of V.

This is what we do next.

The main idea is to do partition refinement, and use backtracking whenever we reach an equitable partition (partition that can't be further refined). The minimum above is taken over permutations considered in this backtracking tree.

Invariants 000000000 000 Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Discrete and equitable partitions

Definition

A partition B is a discrete partition if |B[j]| = 1 for all j, $0 \le j \le k$. It is a unit partition if |B| = 1.

Definition

Let G = (V, E) be a graph and $N_G(u) = \{x \in V : \{u, x\} \in E\}.$

A partition B is an **equitable partition** with respect to the graph G if for all i and j

$$|N_G(u) \cap B[j]| = |N_G(v) \cap B[j]|$$

for all $u, v \in B[i]$.

3

(*) *) *) *)

Invariants 000000000 000 Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Given B an ordered equitable partition with k blocks, we can define M_B to be a $k \times k$ matrix where $M_B[i, j] = |N(G(v)) \cap B[j]|$ where $v \in B[i]$. (Since B is equitable any choice of v produces the same result) Define Num(B) := sequence of k(k-1)/2 elements above diagonal written column by column.

 $B = [\{0\}, \{2, 4\}, \{5, 6\}, \{7\}, \{1, 3\}]$ is an equitable partition w.r.to G:

$$M_B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and Num(B) = [0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 2, 2, 0, 0].

If B is a **discrete** partition then B corresponds to a permutation $\pi : B[i] = {\pi[i]}$, in which case $Num(B) = Num_{\pi}(G)$, adjusting so that Num(B) is interpreted as the sequence of bits of a binary number.

0000

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Partition Refinement

Definition

An ordered partition B is a **refinement** of the ordered partition A if

every block B[i] of B is contained in some block A[j] of A; and
if u ∈ A[i₁] and v ∈ A[j₁] with i₁ ≤ j₁, then u ∈ B[i₂] and v ∈ B[j₂] with i₂ ≤ j₂.

The definition basically says that B must refine A and preserve its order. $A = [\{0,3\}, \{1,2,4,5,6\}]$ $B = [\{0,3\}, \{1,5,6\}, \{2,4\}]$ is a refinement of A, $B' = [\{1,5,6\}, \{2,4\}, \{0,3\}]$ is not a refinement of A (blocks out of order) Let A be an ordered partition and T be any block of A. Define $D_T : V \to \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}, D_T(v) = |N_G(v) \cap T|$. This function can be used to refine A.

3

Other Combinatorial Objects 000000

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Computing and equitable partition

- I Set B equal to A.
- 2 Let S be a list containing the blocks of B.
- **3** While $(S \neq \emptyset)$ do
- remove a block T from the list ${\mathcal{S}}$
- for each block B[i] of B do

for each h, set $L[h] = \{v \in B[i] : D_T(v) = h\}$

- if there is more than one non-empty block in L then
 - replace $\boldsymbol{B}[i]$ with the non-empty blocks in \boldsymbol{L}

in order of the index h, $h = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$.

2) add the non-empty blocks in L to the end of the list ${\mathcal S}$

Notes:

6

8

In step 4 we ignore blocks of ${\cal S}$ if the block has already been partitioned in B. The procedure will produce an equitable partition.

The ordering at step 8 is chosen in order to make Num(B) smaller.

Introduction 000 0000	Invariants 00000000 000	Computing Certificates	Other Combinatorial Objects
Partition refinement a	nd certificates for general graphs		

Algorithm for partition refinement

Algorithm 7.5 REFINE (n, \mathcal{G}, A, B) (global L, U, S, T, N) procedure SPLITANDUPDATE (n, \mathcal{G}, B, j) $L \leftarrow empty list$ for each $u \in B[j]$ do { $h \leftarrow |T \cap N_{\mathcal{G}}(u)|$; $L[h] \leftarrow L[h] \cup \{u\}$; $m \leftarrow 0$ for $h \leftarrow 0$ to n-1 do if $L[h] \neq \emptyset$ then $m \leftarrow m+1$ if m > 1 then for $h \leftarrow |B| - 1$ downto j + 1 do $B[m - 1 + h] \leftarrow B[h]$ $k \leftarrow 0$ for $h \leftarrow 0$ to n-1 do if $L[h] \neq \emptyset$ then $B[j+k] \leftarrow L[h]$; $S[N+k] \leftarrow L[h]$; $U \leftarrow U \cup L[h]; k \leftarrow k+1;$ $i \leftarrow i + m - 1$ $N \leftarrow N + m$

Invariants 000000000 000 Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Algorithm for partition refinement (cont'd)

main

```
B \leftarrow A
for N \leftarrow 0 to |B| do S[N] \leftarrow B[N]
U \leftarrow \mathcal{V}
while N \neq 0 do
    N \leftarrow N - 1
    T = S[N]
    if T \subset U then
                U \leftarrow U \setminus T
                i \leftarrow 0
                while j < |B| and |B| < n do
                     if |B| \neq 1 then SPLITANDUPDATE(n, \mathcal{G}, B, j)
                    i \leftarrow i+1
                if |B| = n then exit
```

Invariants 000000000 000 Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Example 7.7

Example 7.7 *Refining to an equitable partition* We illustrate the refinement procedure using the graph given in 7.6 and the initial partition $A = \{\{0\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}\}$.

$$\begin{split} B &= [\{0\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}] \\ S &= [\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}, \underbrace{\{0\}\}}_T \\ D_{\{0\}} : B &= [\{0\}, \{2, 4, 5, 6\}, \{1, 3, 7\}] \\ S &= \{\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}, \{1, 3, 7\}, \underbrace{\{2, 4, 5, 6\}}_T \\ D_{\{2, 4, 5, 6\}} : B &= [\{0\}, \{2, 4\}, \{5, 6\}, \{1, 3, 7\}] \\ S &= [\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}, \{1, 3, 7\}, \{5, 6\}, \underbrace{\{2, 4\}\}}_T \\ D_{\{2, 4\}} : B &= [\{0\}, \{2, 4\}, \{5, 6\}, \{7\}, \{1, 3\}] \\ S &= [\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}, \{1, 3, 7\}, \{5, 6\}, \{1, 3\}, \underbrace{\{7\}}_T \\ D_{\{7\}} : B &= [\{0\}, \{2, 4\}, \{5, 6\}, \{7\}, \{1, 3\}] \\ S &= [\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}, \{1, 3, 7\}, \{5, 6\}, \underbrace{\{1, 3\}}_T \\ D_{\{1, 3\}} : B &= [\{0\}, \{2, 4\}, \{5, 6\}, \{7\}, \{1, 3\}] \\ S &= [\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}, \{1, 3, 7\}, \underbrace{\{5, 6\}}_T \\ D_{\{5, 6\}} : B &= [\{0\}, \{2, 4\}, \{5, 6\}, \{7\}, \{1, 3\}] \\ \end{split}$$

$$S = [\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}, \underbrace{\{1, 3, 7\}]}_{T}$$

$$S = [\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}]$$

$$S = []$$

The final refined equitable partition is $B = [\{0\}, \{2, 4\}, \{5, 6\}, \{7\}, \{1, 3\}].$



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Computing Isomorphism [Ch.7, Kreher & Stinson] [Ch.3, Kaski & Östergård]

Lucia Moura

Invariants 000000000 000 Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects 000000

Partition refinement and certificates for general graphs

Example 7.8: incomplete - needs to explore possibles discrete partitions that refine equitable...

Example 7.8 Refining to discrete partitions We illustrate the refinement procedure using the graph



and the initial partition $A = [\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}]$. The adjacency matrix of \mathcal{G} is

0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	
0	0	0	1	0	1	0	
0	0	1	0	1	1	1	
0	1	0	1	0	1	1	
0	1	1	1	1	0	1	
1	0	0	1	1	1	0	

and

 $Num_I(\mathcal{G}) = (000001010101111100111)_{binary}$

 $B = [\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6\}} : B &= [\{0\}, \{1,2\}, \{3,4,6\}, \{5\}] \\ S &= [\{5\}, \{3,4,6\}, \{1,2\}, \{0\}\} \\ T \\ D_{\{0\}} : B &= [\{0\}, \{1,2\}, \{3,4\}, \{6\}, \{5\}] \\ S &= [\{5\}, \{3,4,6\}, \{1,2\}, \{6\}, \{5\}] \\ S &= [\{6\}, \{1,2\}, \{3,4\}, \{6\}, \{5\}] \\ S &= [\{5\}, \{3,4,6\}, \{1,2\}, \{6\}, \{5\}] \\ S &= [\{5\}, \{3,4,6\}, \{1,2\}, \{6\}, \{5\}] \\ S &= [\{5\}, \{3,4,6\}, \{1,2\}\} \\ T \\ D_{\{1,2\}} : B &= [\{0\}, \{1,2\}, \{3,4\}, \{6\}, \{5\}] \\ S &= [\{5\}, \{3,4,6\}\} \\ T \\ D_{\{3,4,6\}} : B &= [\{0\}, \{1,2\}, \{3,4\}, \{6\}, \{5\}] \\ S &= [\{5\}, \{3,4,6\}\} \\ T \\ D_{\{3,4,6\}} : B &= [\{0\}, \{1,2\}, \{3,4\}, \{6\}, \{5\}] \\ S &= [\{5\}, \{3,4,6\}\} \\ T \\ D_{\{3,4,6\}} : B &= [\{0\}, \{1,2\}, \{3,4\}, \{6\}, \{5\}] \\ S &= [\{5\}, \{3,4\}, \{6\}, \{5\}] \\ S &= [\{5\}, \{3,4\}, \{6\}, \{5\}] \\ S &= [\{0\}, \{1,2\}, \{3,4\}, \{6\}, \{5\}] \\ S &= [\{0\}, \{1,2\}, \{3,4\}, \{6\}, \{5\}] \\ S &= [\{0\}, \{1,2\}, \{3,4\}, \{6\}, \{5\}\}] \\ \end{array}$$

Backtracking for a certificate for general graphs

Defining a certificate: backtracking + partition refinement We will examine **Algorithm 7.8:** CERT1(G), which will calculate:

 $Cert(G) = min\{Num_{\pi}(G) : \pi \in \Pi_G\},\$

where Π_G is a set of permutations determined by the structure of G but not by any particular ordering of V.

The main idea is to use backtracking combined with partition refinement. At each node, we do partition refinement until we reach an equitable partition; at this point, if the partition is not discrete, we branch on elements of the first block whose size is greater than one.

Each element of this block gives rise to a branch where this element will be chosen to be first in the discrete partition.

The minimum above is taken over permutations considered in the backtracking tree that we have just defined (not over all possible permutations); these permutations are determined by the graph structure and not by any particular ordering of V.

Invariants 000000000 000 Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Backtracking for a certificate for general graphs

Algorithm for computing a certificate for general graphs

Algorithm 7.8: CERT1(\mathcal{G}) external CANON1() $P \leftarrow [\{0, 1, \ldots, n\}]$ CANON1(\mathcal{G}, P) (Main algorithm: get *Best* for canonical adjacency matrix (Next steps: Convert matrix for *Best* into number (certificate) C: $C := Num_{Best}(\mathcal{G}) = \min\{Num_{\pi}(\mathcal{G}) : \pi \in \Pi(G)\}\}$ $k \leftarrow 0$: $C \leftarrow 0$ for $i \leftarrow n-1$ downto 1 do for $i \leftarrow j - 1$ downto 0 do if $\{Best[i], Best[j]\} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$ then $C \leftarrow x + 2^k$ $k \leftarrow k+1$ return (C)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Computing Certificates Algorithm 7.7: CANON1(\mathcal{G}, P) external REFINE(), COMPARE() $\operatorname{Refine}(n, \mathcal{G}, P, Q)$ Find the index l of the first block of Q with |Q[l]| > 1 $Res \leftarrow Better$ if BestExist then for $i \leftarrow 0$ to l-1 do $\pi_1[i] \leftarrow q_i$, where $Q[i] = \{q_i\}$ $Res \leftarrow COMPARE(\mathcal{G}, \pi_1, l)$ if Q has n blocks then if **not** BestExist then $BestExist \leftarrow true$; for $i \leftarrow 0$ to n-1 do $Best[i] \leftarrow q_i$, where $Q[i] = \{q_i\}$ else if Res = Better then $Best \leftarrow \pi_1$ else if $Res \neq Worse$ then $ChoicesLeft \leftarrow Q[l]; AllChoices \leftarrow Q[l]$ (branch on refinement of Q[l]) for $j \leftarrow 0$ to l-1 do $R[j] \leftarrow Q[j]$ for $j \leftarrow l+1$ to size(Q) do $R[j+1] \leftarrow Q[j]$ while $ChoicesLeft \neq \emptyset$ do $u \leftarrow any element of ChoicesLeft$ $R[l] \leftarrow \{u\}; R[l+1] \leftarrow AllChoices \setminus \{u\}$ CANON1(\mathcal{G}, R)

Invariants 000000000 000 Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Backtracking for a certificate for general graphs

This algorithm compares the first l numbers of permutations π and Best, to decide whether π may lead to lexicographical smaller number than Best.

```
Algorithm 7.6: COMPARE(\mathcal{G}, \pi, l)
for j \leftarrow 1 to l - 1 do
for i \leftarrow 0 to j - 1 do
x \leftarrow A_{\mathcal{G}}[Best[i], Best[j]]
y \leftarrow A_{\mathcal{G}}[\pi[i], \pi[j]]
if x < y then return (Worse)
if x > y then return (Better)
return (Equal)
```

- ∢ ≣ ▶



Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Backtracking for a certificate for general graphs



э

(日) (同) (三) (三)



Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Backtracking for a certificate for general graphs



Computing Isomorphism [Ch.7, Kreher & Stinson] [Ch.3, Kaski & Östergård]

Lucia Moura





|G| = 12, Certificate = 5192304, and NODES = 55.

FIGURE 7.2 (continued)

Subtrees with roots $4|02|67|5|13,\,5|13|02|67|4,\,6|13|04|57|2$ and 7|13|24|56|0.

< ∃⇒

< □ > < 同 >

Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Backtracking for a certificate for general graphs

Pruning with Automorphisms

Let G = (V, E) and $\pi \in Sym(V)$, a permutation on V.

Recall that π is an automorphism of G if it is an isomorphism from G to itself.

Let A be the adjacency matrix of G and let A_{π} the the adjacency matrix of G with respect to a permutation π , that is, $A_{\pi}[i, j] = A[\pi[i], \pi[j]]$, for all i, j. Then, π is an automorphism of G if and only if $A_{\pi} = A$.

Theorem

If $Num_{\pi_1}(G) = Num_{\mu}(G)$ then $\pi_2 = \pi_1 \mu^{-1}$ is an automorphism of G.

PROOF.
$$A_{\pi_2}[i,j] = A_{\pi_1\mu^{-1}}[i,j]$$

= $A[\pi_1\mu^{-1}[i], \pi_1\mu^{-1}[j]]$
= $A_{\pi_1}[\mu^{-1}[i], \mu^{-1}[j]] = A_{\mu}[\mu^{-1}[i], \mu^{-1}[j]]$
= $A[\mu\mu^{-1}[i], \mu\mu^{-1}[j]] = A[i,j].$

Invariants 000000000 000 Other Combinatorial Objects

Backtracking for a certificate for general graphs

How to prune with automorphisms?

- When algorithm Compare returns "equal", we record one more automorphism.
- When branching on the backtracking tree, use known automorphisms for further pruning.

Example:

Node N_0 : 1|3|567|024 Children:

 $\begin{array}{ll} N_1: \ 1|3|5|67|024 \\ N_2: \ 1|3|6|57|024 \\ N_3: \ 1|3|7|56|024 \\ \mbox{If } g_1 = (24)(56) \mbox{ and } g_2 = (04)(57) \mbox{ are automorphisms, then} \\ \mbox{prune } N_2, \mbox{ since } g_1(N_1) = N_2 \mbox{ and} \\ \mbox{prune } N_3, \mbox{ since } g_2(N_1) = N_3. \end{array}$

(*) *) *) *)

Invariants 000000000 000 Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects 000000

Backtracking for a certificate for general graphs

What do we need to compute efficiently in order to prune with automorphisms?

- Store/update information on the automorphisms found so far: if g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k have been found, store the subgroup S of Aut(G)generated by g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k .
- Quickly determine if partitions $R = q_0|q_1|\cdots|q_{l-1}|u|Q[l] - u|\cdots|$ and $R' = q_0|q_1|\cdots|q_{l-1}|u'|Q[l] - u'|\cdots|$ are equivalent, that is, determine if there exists $g \in S$ such that g(R) = R'.

Backtracking for a certificate for general graphs

Reviewing some group theory

Definition

A group is a set G with operation * such that

- () there exists an identity $I \in G$ such that g * I = g for all $g \in G$, and
- 2 for all $g \in G$ there exists an inverse $g^{-1} \in G$ such that $g^{-1} * g = I$.

A subgroup S of G is a subset $S \subseteq G$ that is a group.

Theorem (Lagrange)

Let G be a finite group. If H is a subgroup of G then

- G can be written as $G = g_1 H \cup g_2 H \cup \ldots \cup g_r H$ for some $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r \in G$ (where the unions are disjoint)
- 2 |H| divides |G|.

We say that $T = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r\}$ is a system of left coset representatives or a left transversal of H in G.

Invariants 000000000 000 Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Backtracking for a certificate for general graphs

Permutation groups and automorphism group

Theorem

Sym(X), the set of all permutations on X, is a group under the operation of composition of functions.

Theorem

Aut(G), the set of automorphisms of a graph G, is a group under the operation of composition of functions.

Invariants 000000000 000 Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Backtracking for a certificate for general graphs

Schreier-Syms representation of a permutation group Let G be a permutation group on $X = \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$, and let

$$\begin{array}{rcl} G_0 &=& \{g \in G : g(0) = 0\} \\ G_1 &=& \{g \in G_0 : g(1) = 1\} \\ &\vdots \\ G_{n-1} &=& \{g \in G_{n-2} : g(n-1) = n-1\} = I \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} G \supseteq G_0 \supseteq G_1 \supseteq G_2 \cdots \supseteq G_{n-1} &= I \text{ are subgroups.} \\ \text{For all } i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\} \text{ (taking } G_{-1} &= G \text{),} \\ \text{let } orb(i) &= \{g(i) : g \in G_{i-1}\} = \{x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,n_i}\} \text{ and} \\ U_i &= \{h_{i,1}, h_{i,2}, \dots, h_{i,n_i}\} \text{ such that } h_{i,j}(i) = x_{i,j}. \\ \text{THEOREM. } U_i \text{ is a left transversal of } G_i \text{ in } G_{i-1}. \\ \text{The data structure: } [U_0, U_1, \dots, U_{n-1}] \text{ is called the Schreier-Syms} \\ \text{representation of the group } G. \end{split}$$

Any $g \in G$ can be uniquely written as $g = h_{0,i_0} * h_{1,i_1} * \cdots * h_{n=1,i_n=1} \cdot \circ \circ \circ$ Computing Isomorphism [Ch.7, Kreher & Stinson] [Ch.3, Kaski & Östergård] Lucia Moura

Other Combinatorial Objects

Backtracking for a certificate for general graphs

Useful algorithms from Chapter 6

PROCEDURE ENTER $(n, g, [U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_{n-1}])$ INPUT: n, PERMUTATION g, AND $[U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_{n-1}]$, THE SCHREIER-SYMS REPRESENTATION OF G. OUTPUT: $[U'_0, U'_1, \ldots, U'_{n-1}]$, THE SCHREIER-SYMS REPRESENTATION OF G', THE GROUP GENERATED BY G AND q.

Changing the base: modify the Schreier-Syms representation to work on a base permutation β .

Redefine $G_i = \{g \in G_{i-1} : g(\beta(i)) = \beta(i)\}.$

 $[\beta, [U_0, U_1, \dots, U_{n-1}]]$ is the (modified) Schreier-Syms representation.

PROCEDURE CHANGEBASE $(n, [\beta, [U_0, U_1, \dots, U_{n-1}]], \beta')$ INPUT: $n, [\beta, [U_0, U_1, \dots, U_{n-1}]]$, NEW BASIS β' OUPUT: $[\beta', [U'_0, U'_1, \dots, U'_{n-1}]]$



A B M A B M

Introduction 000 0000	Invari 0000 000		Computing Certificates 000000 00000000000 00000000000000000	Other Combinatorial Objects 000000
Backtracking for a ce	rtificate for gen	eral graphs		
Algorithm 7	9: Cano	$\mathrm{N2}(\mathcal{G},\vec{G},P)$	external REFINE()), Compare(), Enter2
REFINE $(n,$	\mathcal{G}, P, Q			
Find the in	dex l of t	he first block	of Q with $ Q[l] > 1$	
$Res \leftarrow Be$	tter			
if BestExi	st then	for $i \leftarrow 0$ to	$p l - 1$ do $\pi_1[i] \leftarrow q_i$,	where $Q[i] = \{q_i\}$
		$Res \leftarrow Co$	MPARE (\mathcal{G}, π_1, l)	
if Q has n	blocks the	en		
if not B	estExist	then		

 $\begin{array}{l} BestExist \leftarrow \mathbf{true}; \mbox{ for } i \leftarrow 0 \mbox{ to } n-1 \mbox{ do } Best[i] \leftarrow q_i, \mbox{ where } Q[i] = \{q_i\} \\ \mbox{ else if } Res = Better \mbox{ then } Best \leftarrow \pi_1 \\ \mbox{ else if } Res = Equal \mbox{ then for } i \leftarrow 0 \mbox{ to } n-1 \mbox{ do } \pi_2[\pi_1[i]] \leftarrow Best[i] \\ \mbox{ ENTER2}(\pi_2, \vec{G}) \end{array}$

else if $Res \neq Worse$ then... (continue in the next page)

Invariants 000000000 000 Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Backtracking for a certificate for general graphs

(continuing CANON2())

else if $Res \neq Worse$ then $ChoicesLeft \leftarrow Q[l]; AllChoices \leftarrow Q[l]$ for $j \leftarrow 0$ to l-1 do $R[j] \leftarrow Q[j]$ for $j \leftarrow l+1$ to size(Q) do $R[j+1] \leftarrow Q[j]$ while $ChoicesLeft \neq \emptyset$ do $u \leftarrow any element of ChoicesLeft$ $R[l] \leftarrow \{u\}; R[l+1] \leftarrow AllChoices \setminus \{u\}$ CANON2(\mathcal{G}, \vec{G}, R) for $i \leftarrow 0$ to l do $\beta'[j] \leftarrow r$, where $R[j] = \{r\}$ for each $y \notin \{\beta'[0], \beta'[1], \dots, \beta'[l]\}$ do $i \leftarrow i+1$ $\beta'[j] \leftarrow y$ CHANGEBASE (n, \vec{G}, β') for each $q \in \mathcal{U}_l$ do $ChoicesLeft \leftarrow ChoicesLeft \setminus \{q(u)\}$

Computing Isomorphism [Ch.7, Kreher & Stinson] [Ch.3, Kaski & Östergård]

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

		Computing Certificates	
000 0000	00000000 000	000000 00000000000 0000000000000000000	

Other Combinatorial Objects

Backtracking for a certificate for general graphs



FIGURE 7.3

The state space tree that results from running Algorithm 7.9 on the Graph in Example 7.7.

3

Image: Image:

Invariants 000000000 000 Computing Certificates

Other Combinatorial Objects

Backtracking for a certificate for general graphs

Using known automorphisms

If we know some or all automorphisms of G we can input the Schreier-Syms representation of the group generated by these automorphisms to the algorithm Canon2.

For the previous example, if we input Aut(G), the backtracking tree would have only 10 nodes instead of 16 (see page 273).

Combinatorial Objects as Coloured Graphs

Representing other combinatorial objects as coloured graphs

A coloured graph is a graph G plus an ordered partition P of the vertex set. For an ordered partition $P = [P[1], P[2], \ldots, P[l]]$ of V(G), we write P(v) for the index of the block of P that vertex v occurs, i. e. P(x) = i if $x \in P[i]$.

Isomorphism of graphs naturally extends to isomorphism of coloured graphs: an isomorphism of coloured graphs must map vertices of each colour onto vertices of the same colour.

Definition

Two graphs coloured graphs (G_1, P_1) and (G_2, P_2) are **isomorphic** if there is an isomorphism $f: V(G_1) \to V(G_2)$ of G_1 and G_2 such that $P_1(u) = P_2(f(u))$ for all $u \in V(G)$.

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Introduction 000 0000	Invariants 00000000 000	Computing Certificates 000000 00000000000 00000000000000000	Other Combinatorial Objects 00000
Combinatorial Objects	s as Coloured Graphs		

Isomorphism of set systems

Let (V, \mathcal{B}) be a set system (also called incidence structures or hypergraphs) Define a bipartite graph $G_{V,\mathcal{B}}$ with vertex set $V \cup \mathcal{B}$ and with an edge connecting $x \in V$ to $B \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $x \in B$. This is usually called the point-block incidence graph.



Invariants 000000000 000

Other Combinatorial Objects

Combinatorial Objects as Coloured Graphs

Isomorphism of set systems (continued)

Then, $(V_1, \mathcal{B}_1) \sim (V_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$ if and only if $G_{V_1, \mathcal{B}_1} \sim G_{V_2, \mathcal{B}_2}$ with respect to initial partitions $P_1 = [V_1, \mathcal{B}_1]$ and $P_2 = [V_2, \mathcal{B}_2]$, respectively. We can extract the automorphism group of (V, \mathcal{B}) from the automorphism group of $G_{V, \mathcal{B}}$. The automorphism group of (V, \mathcal{B}) is the automorphism group of $G_{V, \mathcal{B}}$ restricted to V.

・ロト ・得ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Other Combinatorial Objects

Combinatorial Objects as Coloured Graphs

Isomorphism of codes

Definition

A q-ary code C of length n is a nonempty subset of Z_q^n ; i.e. C is a set of vectors/words x of length n with components $x_i \in Z_q$.

Example: $C = \{0000, 0011, 0201, 0110\} \subseteq Z_3^4$ is a ternary code with 4 words of length 4.

Coding theory is essential for many engineering and computer science applications as well as a topic of purely mathematical interest.

< ⊒ > _

Introduction	

Other Combinatorial Objects

Combinatorial Objects as Coloured Graphs

Codes as coloured graphs (see Östergård, *Disc. Appl. Math* 120 (2002)) For a *q*-ary code $C \subseteq Z_q^n$ defined coloured graph CG(C):

- vertex set: $C \cup \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \times Z_q$,
- edge set: $\{\{x, (i, x_i)\} : x \in C, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\} \cup \{\{(j, a), (j, b)\} : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, a, b \in Z_q\},$
- vertex colouring: $(C, \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \times Z_q)$

Example: what is the code this graph corresponds to?



Fig. 3.9. Transforming an unrestricted code into a colored graph
Invariants 000000000 000

Combinatorial Objects as Coloured Graphs

Other combinatorial objects

See Kaski & Östergård book (2007) transforming other combinatorial objects into different coloured graphs:

- set systems/incidence structures using incidence (bipartite) graphs as seen before;
- Steiner triple systems using block intersection graphs (for v > 15, the systems is reconstructible from this graph);
- hadamard matrices,
- other types of codes.

The advantage of using coloured graphs for isomorphism of other structures is to use the power of available tools for graph isomorphism such as "partition refinement+backtracking" algorithms in general (such as CERT1 and CERT2), and *nauty* software specifically. However, in some situations, a tailored approach that works directly with the object can be more efficient.