Pipelining and Parallel Processing in IIR Digital Filters

Juo Yu Lee

Department of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University

Outline

- Introduction
- Pipelining in 1st-Order IIR Digital Filters
- Pipelining in Higher-Order IIR Digital Filters
- Parallel Processing for IIR Filters
- Combined Pipelining and Parallel Processing for IIR Filters

Introduction

consider a 1st-order LTI IIR Filter

$$y(n+1) = ay(n) + u(n)$$



Tm: multiplication time

Ta: addition time

Interleaved Time Series

• a naïve try:

inefficient interleaving and slow sample rate



Time (n)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
State x(n)	y ⁽¹⁾ (0)	y ⁽²⁾ (0)	y ⁽³⁾ (0)	y ⁽⁴⁾ (0)	y ⁽⁵⁾ (0)	y ⁽¹⁾ (1)	y ⁽²⁾ (1)	y ⁽³⁾ (1)	y ⁽⁴⁾ (1)	y ⁽⁵⁾ (1)	y ⁽¹⁾ (2)

Look-Ahead Computation

• more iterated recursion

$$y(n+2) = a[ay(n) + bu(n)] + bu(n+1)$$



iteration bound: 2(Tm + Ta)/2

the same as the previous version

Look-Ahead Computation

• another equivalent recursion

$$y(n+2) = a^2 \cdot y(n) + ab \cdot u(n) + b \cdot u(n+1)$$



iteration bound: (Tm + Ta)/2

Look-Ahead Computation

• general case:

applying M-1 steps of look-ahead

$$y(n+M) = a^{M} \cdot y(n) + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} a^{i} \cdot b \cdot u(n+M-1-i)$$



iteration bound: (Tm + Ta)/Mlinear increase in complexity

Interleaved Time Series

• pipelined interleaving M = 5



Time (n)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
State x(n)	y(-4)	y(-3)	y(-2)	y(-1)	y(0)	y(1)	y(2)	y(3)	y(4)	y(5)

Pipelining in 1st-Order IIR Digital Filters

revisit the 1st-order IIR filter

$$y(n+1) = ay(n) + u(n)$$
$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - a \cdot z^{-1}}$$

• Example: 3-stage pipelining

$$H(z) = \frac{1 + a \cdot z^{-1} + a^2 \cdot z^{-2}}{1 - a^3 \cdot z^{-3}}$$

adding poles and zeros at $z = ae^{\pm (j2\pi/3)}$

Look-Ahead Pipelining with Power-of-2 Decomposition consider a 1st-order LTI IIR Filter

$$H(z) = \left(b \cdot z^{-1}\right) / \left(1 - a \cdot z^{-1}\right)$$

applying the decomposition technique

$$H(z) = \frac{b \cdot z^{-1} \prod_{i=0}^{\log_2 M - 1} \left(1 + a^{2^i} \cdot z^{-2^i}\right)}{1 - a^M \cdot z^{-M}}$$

 $log_2 M$ sets of transformation

logarithmic increase in hardware complexity







pipelined IIR with Decomposition (M = 8)

Finite Precision Problems

• pole position sensitivity to filter coefficients

$$p = (a^M + \Delta)^{1/M} \approx a \left(1 + \frac{\Delta}{Ma^M}\right)$$

more sensitive for small value of a

inexact pole/zero cancellation



Look-Ahead Pipelining with General Decomposition

• the 1st-order IIR filter again

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - a \cdot z^{-1}}$$

12-stage pipelined:
 2x3x2 decomposition

$$H(z) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{11} a^{i} \cdot z^{-i}}{1 - a^{12} \cdot z^{-12}} = \frac{\left(1 + az^{-1}\right)\left(1 + a^{2}z^{-2} + a^{4}z^{-4}\right)\left(1 + a^{6}z^{-6}\right)}{1 - a^{12} \cdot z^{-12}}$$





$$H(z) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{11} a^{i} \cdot z^{-i}}{1 - a^{12} \cdot z^{-12}} = \frac{\left(1 + az^{-1}\right)\left(1 + a^{2}z^{-2} + a^{4}z^{-4}\right)\left(1 + a^{6}z^{-6}\right)}{1 - a^{12} \cdot z^{-12}}$$

Look-Ahead Pipelining with General Decomposition

2x2x3 decomposition

$$H(z) = \frac{\left(1 + az^{-1}\right)\left(1 + a^2z^{-2}\right)\left(1 + a^4z^{-4} + a^8z^{-8}\right)}{1 - a^{12} \cdot z^{-12}}$$

3x2x2 decomposition

$$H(z) = \frac{\left(1 + az^{-1} + a^2 z^{-2}\right)\left(1 + a^3 z^{-3}\right)\left(1 + a^6 z^{-6}\right)}{1 - a^{12} \cdot z^{-12}}$$

Pipelining in Higher-order IIR Digital Filters

 Clustered Look-Ahead Pipelining consider a 2nd-order IIR filter:

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{5}{4}z^{-1} + \frac{3}{8}z^{-2}} \quad \text{with poles at} \\ 1/2 \text{ and } 3/4$$
$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{5}{4}z^{-1} + \frac{3}{8}z^{-2}} \cdot \frac{1 + \frac{5}{4}z^{-1}}{1 + \frac{5}{4}z^{-1}} \\ = \frac{1 + \frac{5}{4}z^{-1}}{1 - \frac{19}{16}z^{-2} + \frac{15}{32}z^{-3}}$$

using 2-stage pipelining

Pipelining in Higher-order IIR Digital Filters

Clustered Look-Ahead Pipelining



if using higher value of M (ex. M = 3): multiplying $(1+5/4z^{-1}+19/16z^{-2})$ $H(z) = \frac{1+\frac{5}{4}z^{-1}+\frac{19}{16}z^{-2}}{1-\frac{65}{64}z^{-3}+\frac{57}{128}z^{-4}}$

linear increase hardware complexity

Instability Problems



numerical method to find M for stability

Pipelining in Higher-order IIR Digital Filters

Scattered Look-Ahead Pipelining

revisit the 2nd-order IIR filter: $H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{5}{4}z^{-1} + \frac{3}{8}z^{-2}}$



guaranteed stability if the original filter is stable using decomposition to obtain area efficiency

Parallel Processing in IIR Filters

• consider a 1st – order IIR filter

$$H(z) = \frac{z^{-1}}{1 - az^{-1}} \qquad \qquad y(n+1) = ay(n) + u(n)$$

$$y(4k+4) = a^{4}y(4k) + a^{3}u(4k) + a^{2}u(4k+1) + au(4k+2) + u(4k+3)$$



A Straightforward Structure



Hardware complexity : L^2 Multiply-add operation

Incremental Block Processing



Hardware complexity : 2L-1 multiply-add operation

Round-off Noise Robustness

• pole movement

z = a v.s. $z = a^4$

Round-off noise
$$\propto \frac{1}{1-a^2}$$

for one pole IIR filter

Parallel Processing in IIR Filters

• consider a 2nd – order IIR filter

$$H(z) = \frac{\left(1 + z^{-1}\right)^2}{1 - \frac{5}{4}z^{-1} + \frac{3}{8}z^{-2}}$$

$$y(n) = \frac{5}{4} y(n-1) - \frac{3}{8} y(n-2) + f(n)$$
$$f(n) = u(n) + 2u(n-1) + u(n-2)$$

$$\begin{cases} +f(3k+2) \\ y(3k+4) = \frac{65}{64}y(3k+1) - \frac{57}{128}y(3k) + \frac{19}{16}f(3k+2) \\ +\frac{5}{4}f(3k+3) + f(3k+4) \end{cases}$$
$$y(3k+2) = \frac{5}{4}y(3k+1) - \frac{8}{3}y(3k) + f(3k+2) \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} y(3k+3) \\ -\frac{57}{128} & \frac{19}{64} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} y(3k) \\ y(3k+4) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} y(3k+3) = \frac{19}{16}y(3k+1) - \frac{15}{32}y(3k) + \frac{5}{4}f(3k+2) \\ + f(3k+2) \\ y(3k+4) = \frac{65}{64}y(3k+1) - \frac{57}{128}y(3k) + \frac{19}{16}f(3k+2) \\ + \frac{5}{4}f(3k+3) + f(3k+4) \end{cases}$$



Round-off Noise Robustness

• pole movement

$$z = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}$$
 V.S. $z = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3, \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^3$

Combined Pipelining and Parallel Processing For IIR Filters

revisit the 1st – order IIR filter y(n+1) = ay(n) + u(n)with L = 4 and M = 3 $y(3k+12) = a^{12}y(3k)$ $+a^{11}u(3k+1)+a^{10}u(3k+2)+a^{9}u(3k+3)$ $+a^{8}u(3k+4)+a^{7}u(3k+5)+a^{6}u(3k+6)$ $+a^{5}u(3k+7)+a^{4}u(3k+8)+a^{3}u(3k+9)$ $+a^{2}u(3k+10)+au(3k+11)+u(3k+12)$ $= a^{12}y(3k) + a^{6}f_{2}(3k+6) + a^{3}f_{1}(3k+9) + f_{1}(3k+12)$

where

$$\begin{cases} f_1(3k+12) = a^2u(3k+10) + au(3k+11) + u(3k+12) \\ f_2(3k+12) = a^3f_1(3k+9) + f_1(3k+12) \end{cases}$$



$$: M = 4 \& N = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 4 poles : $a^3, -a^3, ja^3, -ja^3$

$$\therefore L = 3$$

$$\implies$$
 pole distance : $|a|^3$

The multiplication complexity :

$$(L-1) + \log_2 M + 1 + (L-1) = 2L - 1 + \log_2 M$$

Combined Pipelining and Parallel Processing For IIR Filters

• revisit the 2nd – order IIR filter







loop update

L = 3 and M = 2

$$Y(3k+6) = A \bullet (Y(3k+3) + F_2) = A \bullet (A \bullet (Y(3k) + F_1)) = A^2 Y(3k) + F$$

where $Y(3k) = (y(3k) \quad y(3k+1))^T$

The eigenvalues of
$$A^2$$
: $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^6, \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^6$
 \Rightarrow Take the square root: $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3, -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3, \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^3, -\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^3$

Case Analysis

 Example: 4-th order Chebyshev low-pass filter with M =4

$$H(z) = \frac{A(1+z^{-1})^4}{(1+Bz^{-1}+Cz^{-2})(1+Dz^{-1}+Ez^{-2})}$$

simple model:

$$T = \frac{V \bullet Cch \text{ arg } e}{k \bullet (V - Vth)^2}$$
$$P = Ctotal \bullet V^2 \bullet f$$

result (if using V=5 and Vth=1): V' = 2.38 power ratio = 58.91%

Case Analysis

• Example: 2nd order IIR filter with L =3

$$H(z) = \frac{\left(1 + z^{-1}\right)^2}{1 - \frac{5}{4}z^{-1} + \frac{3}{8}z^{-2}}$$

result (if using V=5 and Vth=1): V' = 2.3365 power ratio = 29.116%