

Deployment and Configuration

SAM'04

Table of Contents

Introduction

Overview on major concepts ITU eODL, UML 2.0, OMG DnC

Comparison of concepts

- Component and component-based application
- Realization of components
- Target environment description
- Distribution Modelling
- Run-time management
- Summary on compared concepts
- Conclusion

Introduction: Deployment & Configuration



Overview on Deployment Modelling



Overview on Deployment Modelling



Languages Investigated

- Only languages
 - suitable for modeling distributed systems at *platform-independent* (PIM) level
 - according to OMG's Model Driven Architecture (MDA) approach

have been considered

- Platform-specific technologies such as CCM, EJB, .NET have not been considered
 - In general, mapping from PIM to different PSMs possible

Investigation of the following languages

- extended Object Definition Language (ITU eODL)
- Unified Modeling Language (UML 2.0)
- OMG Deployment and Configuration (OMG DnC)
- All three languages have a MOF-based Metamodel

Overview on eODL

- Defined by ITU-T
- Language for platform-independent specifications
- Different viewpoints reflecting different aspects of a distributed system
 - Computational VP:
 - Modeling black-box components; no recursive composition
 - 3 types of ports
 - Implementation VP:
 - Component realisations
 - Deployment requirements
 - Target Environment VP: Modeling computing environment
 - *Deployment VP*: Deployment mapping
- No support for run-time modelling

Overview on UML 2.0

- Standardised by OMG
- Language (family) for graphical modelling of arbitrary systems
- Recently adopted version UML 2.0 is major revision adding advanced modelling concepts, in particular for distributed systems
 - Component modelling:
 - Provided and used ports
 - Black-box and white-box components
 - Component realisation (implementation) by artifacts
 - Deployment concepts for
 - Target environment
 - Deployment mapping
- No support for run-time modelling, too

Overview on OMG DnC

- In 2003 the OMG adopted the Deployment and Configuration of Component-based Applications specification
- Structured according the MDA approach
 - Core: MOF-compliant platform-independent model (PIM) for DnC
 - Basically aligned with respective UML 2.0 concepts (some open issues)
 - UML profile
 - For modelling components as well as the target environment
 - Is a concrete syntax for the abstract concepts defined by the PIM
 - Platform-specific model for the CORBA Component Model (CCM)
- Development phase out of scope of DnC
 - Starting point: complete (implemented) specification
 - DnC spec is applicable to wide range of different component-based methodologies
- Focus: Interoperable deployment machinery
 - Deployment architecture with well-defined interfaces and interchange formats
 - Both can be derived automatically from the PIM by proper mapping rules

Application / Component Modelling



Component / Component-based Application in eODL

- General relationship between *Container* and *Contained* from IDL
- COTypeDef
 - represents a <u>component</u>
 - is a Container potentially containing ports (*PortDef*)
- Ports may be used or provided
- An eODL component has no internal structure (black-box)
- AssemblyDef
 - Assembly of components
 - Models an <u>application</u> or parts of it



Component / Component-based Application in UML 2.0

- UML <u>Component</u> represented by the class Component
- A Component
 - may provide or require Interfaces
 - Ports and Connections inherited from

EncapsulatedClassifier

- May be modelled as blackbox or may have internal structure (white-box)
- A <u>component-based</u> <u>application</u> is modelled by a recursively decomposed component



Component / Component-based Application in OMG DnC



- DnC <u>Component</u>
 - Is represented by the class ComponentInterfaceDescription
 - May have ports (ComponentPortDescription)
- Interfaces and types are simply referenced by identifiers of type string instead of associations
 - Reason: DnC may be applicated to wide range of component models
- A DnC Component is a black-box
 - ... but white-box view of components is also supported
 - by decomposition concept

Component / Component-based Application in OMG DnC



Deployment and Configuration

Application / Component Modelling



Component Realisation in eODL, UML 2.0 & OMG DnC

- Components have to be implemented before they can be deployed
- Model needs to reflect implementation-related information, such as name(s) of implementation file(s), their depedencies and requirements
- In general, one or more components are implemented by a software artifact or similar concept
- Unfortunately, different terminlology:
 - -eODL: Components are realised by SoftwareComponentDef
 - UML 2.0: Components are manifested by an Artifact that represents an arbitrary file
 - DnC: Components are implemented by ComponentImplementation-Description which can be either a monolithic impementation of a component assembly

Actual implementation files are modelled by ImplementationArtifact-Description

Properties / Requirements / Resources in eODL, UML 2.0 & OMG DnC



UML 2.0

- No dedicated concept available in UML 2.0
- General purpose annotation mechanism may be used instead
- example:
- { os = windows, executionenvironment = java }



Target Environment Modelling



Target Environment Modelling in eODL



- Simple model of target environment
- A *TargetEnvironment* is made up from *Nodes* and *NodeLinks* connecting nodes
- Nodes and NodeLinks may have typed property values attached
 - Achieved through inheritance

Target Environment Modelling in UML 2.0



- No top-level element (e.g. target environment)
- Nodes have processing capabilities and
 - may be nested
 - Further concepts for substructuring: Device and ExecutionEnvironment
- Nodes are connected by CommunicationPaths
 - Achieved by inheritance
- No special mechanism for attaching properties to Nodes etc.
 - Only untyped (!) name-value pairs may be attached using the general-purpose annotation mechanism

Target Environment Modelling in OMG DnC



- Interconnects provide shared communication path between nodes
- Bridges connect interconnects and model routers and switches
- *Node*, *Interconnect* and *Bridge* have resources (with special types)
 - Nodes: e.g. processors, hardware devices, memory, operating system
 - Interconnect: bandwidth, protocol
- Resources may be shared among Nodes
- Nodes are target for execution, Interconnects & Bridges are target for connections

Distribution Modelling



Distribution Modelling in eODL



DeploymentPlan

- Mapping of an application onto a particular target environment
- Consists of *InstallationMap* and *InstantiationMap*
- InstallationMap specifies what components are to be installed at what node
- InstantiationMap specifies what/how many instances of components are to be instantiated at what node

Distribution Modelling in UML 2.0



- No general concept of a deployment plan; quite simple model
- Just assignment of Artifacts to DeploymentTargets, i.e. mainly nodes
 - A DeploymentTarget owns a set of Deployments reflecting the installation of Artifacts or instances of Artifacts (InstanceSpecification)
 - Again: no powerful matching mechanism between node properties and artifact requirements
- Deployment-related information such as ConfigValues may be specified using the *DeploymentSpecification* class not shown here

Distribution Modelling in OMG DnC



DeploymentPlan:

- Maps component implementations to nodes and connections between component instances to bridges and interconnects
- Records matching properties against resources

Run-time Management



Run-Time Management in OMG DnC



- Only the DnC spec provides a model for executing a deployment specification
 - It defines a set of interfaces and data formats to be exchanged at those interface
 - Defines an vendor-independent interoperable deployment machinery
- One major concept is the ApplictionManager
- Further concepts are e.g. Target-, Node-, ExecutionManager

Summary on Compared Concepts

	eODL	UML 2.0	OMG DnC
Application / Component Modelling	COTypeDef	Component	ComponentInterfaceDescription
	PortDef	Port	ComponentPortDescription
	AssemblyDef	(nested) Component	AssemblyDescription
	SoftwareComponentDef	Artifact	ComponentImplementationDescription, MonolithicImplementationDescription, ComponentAssemblyDescription
	Property (name-type-value)	(name-value annotation)	typed Requirement
Target Environment Modelling	TargetEnvironment	-	Domain
	Node	Node	Node
	NodeLink	CommunicationPath	Interconnect, Bridge
	Property	(name-value annotation)	typed Resource and SharedResource
Distribution Modelling	DeploymentPlan	-	DeploymentPlan
	InstallationMap, ComponentAssignment	Deployment	ArtifactDeploymentDescription
	InstantiationMap	InstanceSpecification	InstanceDeploymentDescription
	<properties of="" softwarecomp.<br="">meet TargetEnv. Properties></properties>	-	<typed meet="" resources="" typed<br="">Requirements></typed>
Run-time Management	-	-	ApplicationManager, Application (Target-,Node-,ExecutionManager)

Conclusion

- Three languages suitable for deployment specifications have been investigated
- They use different modelling elements and have different main focus
- However, there is a set of common concepts that could be the basis for an alignment
- All languages investigated have a MOF-based metamodel
- On this basis mappings between all three languages as well as to other platformdependent languages can be defined